CARDIOLOGY

Cardiology 2009;114:164–166 DOI: 10.1159/000226109 Received: April 29, 2009 Accepted: April 30, 2009 Published online: June 25, 2009

Gender Difference in the Application of Reperfusion Therapy in Patients with Acute Myocardial Infarction

Dragana Radovanovic^a Paul Erne^b

^a AMIS Plus Data Center, Institute of Social and Preventive Medicine, University of Zürich, Zürich, and ^bDivision of Cardiology, Kantonsspital Luzern, Luzern, Switzerland

Gender difference in the application of reperfusion therapy in patients with acute myocardial infarction (AMI) has been an ongoing topic for more than 20 years [1]. Reports have repeatedly shown that women with AMI were less likely to undergo reperfusion treatment than men, and this persists to the current era [2-5]. In early trials, percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) was also associated with more procedure-related complications and higher mortality in women than in men [6, 7]. Cardiologists worldwide have since been working on closing the gender gap in care. In 2005, the European Society of Cardiology launched the Women At Heart initiative to raise awareness and improve the quality of care for women with cardiovascular disease, and that year, women and cardiovascular disease were hot topics at the European Society of Cardiology and American Heart Association congresses. Numerous sessions and articles have been fuelling this gender difference debate, and in January 2006, Circulation devoted an entire section to highlighting women's heart disease. The gender gap has meanwhile narrowed, and in more recent studies, reported differences between the genders were less pronounced. However, gender differences are still existent as illustrated in the article from Halvorsen et al. [8] from the University Hospital in Oslo.

The authors evaluated whether recent reports on the improved outcome for women undergoing PCI affects

KARGER Fax +41 61 306 12 34

www.karger.com

E-Mail karger@karger.ch

© 2009 S. Karger AG, Basel 0008–6312/09/1143–0164\$26.00/0

Accessible online at: www.karger.com/crd the current treatment provided in real-life situations at 1 single center and whether these reports consequently led to better outcomes. They examined the use of invasive evaluation, revascularization rates and both short- and long-term outcomes. Although the age-adjusted mortality rate was similar in women and men, there were still significant disparities in treatment. Women with non-ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (NSTEMI) were less likely to undergo invasive evaluation and PCI than their male counterparts.

There has been much debate on whether women with acute coronary syndrome (ACS) should be treated the same as men. In ACS, there is no evidence of gender differences regarding the benefit of primary PCI for patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STE-MI). Halvorsen et al. [8] show that the gender gap, in terms of the likelihood of STEMI patients receiving invasive procedures (coronary angiography and PCI), if adjusted for age, does not exist anymore. However, gender differences in invasive evaluation and treatment were still observed for NSTEMI patients in their Norwegian patient cohort. Several trials of unstable angina and NSTEMI indicate that women do not benefit from a routine, early invasive treatment strategy as much as men [9–12]. In the Fifth Organization to Assess Strategies in Acute Ischemic Syndromes Investigators (OASIS-5) trial, women with NSTEMI did not benefit from a routine in-

Prof. Dr. Paul Erne Division of Cardiology, Kantonsspital Spitalstrasse CH–6000 Luzern 16 (Switzerland) Tel. +41 41 205 5106, Fax +41 41 205 5109, E-Mail p.erne@tic.ch vasive strategy [13]. However, another recent publication showed that an invasive strategy in NSTEMI patients has a comparable benefit in men and in high-risk women, but not in low-risk women [14].

Worldwide, women have angina more often than men [15], and early coronary angiography may be useful for risk stratification. However, it is well documented that coronary angiography usually shows less extensive atherosclerosis in women. Women who present with ACS have a higher incidence of nonobstructive coronary artery disease. Most trials showed around 20% or greater excess of normal or nonobstructive arteries in women [10, 11, 16]. Among ACS patients, the risk-adjusted odds ratio of significant coronary artery disease was 0.47 for women compared with men [17]. Halvorsen et al. [8] confirmed this finding in their cohort: a greater percentage of women than men with NSTEMI had no obstructive coronary artery disease at the time of angiography (23.0 vs. 8.3%). This could, in part, explain the lesser use of PCI in these women, but the benefit of an early invasive strategy for women remains unclear.

Women admitted for ACS in the University Hospital in Oslo were still less likely to receive evidence-based medication than men, even after adjustment for age. This can be partly explained through the concomitant use of platelet inhibitors (aspirin, clopidogrel) and warfarin due to atrial fibrillation. The gender gap in treatment should not be disturbing but reassuring when based on reliable gender-specific data. Increased use of antiplatelet drugs has been suggested to play a role for improvements in long-term mortality after AMI [18]. One finding in the study of Halvorsen et al. [8] is of particular interest. No gender differences were observed in treatment delay, especially in the symptom-to-balloon time. Numerous other studies have reported that women with ACS come later to hospital which delays the start of therapy. Are Norwegian women more aware of heart disease or is there another explanation?

The findings of this study advance our basic knowledge on gender-specific treatment and suggest that men and women now have fairly similar adjusted outcomes after PCI. It is important to have such outcome data to help us understand the reasons for gender disparities in the treatment of AMI.

We still do not know if and why the gender gap still exists or whether it is just a question of age, female conditions and biological differences right down to the cellular level. The gender gap in the application of reperfusion therapy in patients with AMI narrowed with advancing age [19]. The contemporary American National Registry shows women are still less likely to receive reperfusion than men. This difference is largest among young patients, and the gender differences in in-hospital mortality are age dependent in both STEMI and NSTEMI patients [20].

Crude in-hospital mortality of STEMI and NSTEMI patients was higher overall for women than for men. However, after adjustment for age and/or comorbidities, reported mortality was approximately the same. The results from Norway raise the question whether long-term survival was lower in women, especially in those with NSTEMI. The higher mortality rate observed in women compared with men after interventional treatment [21] has been explained by differences in body size and clinical risk factors, anatomical differences, basic biological differences [22, 23] and the differing pathophysiology of ACS conditions according to age [24]. However, this article, along with other recent data, suggests that men and women now have a fairly similar adjusted outcome after PCI [19]. This outcome improvement in women could be due to more invasive evaluation, PCI and stenting as well as better procedural management of anticoagulation.

References

- 1 Cowley MJ, Mullin SM, Kelsey SF, Kent KM, Gruentzig AR, Detre KM, et al: Sex differences in early and long-term results of coronary angioplasty in the NHLBI PTCA Registry. Circulation 1985;71:90–97.
- 2 Antoniucci D, Valenti R, Moschi G, Migliorini A, Trapani M, Santoro GM, et al: Sexbased differences in clinical and angiographic outcomes after primary angioplasty or stenting for acute myocardial infarction. Am J Cardiol 2001;87:289–293.
- 3 Lansky AJ, Hochman JS, Ward PA, Mintz GS, Fabunmi R, Berger PB, et al: Percutaneous coronary intervention and adjunctive pharmacotherapy in women: a statement for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association. Circulation 2005;111: 940–953.
- 4 Radovanovic D, Erne P, Urban P, Bertel O, Rickli H, Gaspoz JM: Gender differences in management and outcomes in patients with acute coronary syndromes: results on 20,290 patients from the AMIS Plus Registry. Heart 2007;93:1369–1375.
- 5 Milcent C, Dormont B, Durand-Zaleski I, Steg PG: Gender differences in hospital mortality and use of percutaneous coronary intervention in acute myocardial infarction: microsimulation analysis of the 1999 nationwide French hospitals database. Circulation 2007;115:833–839.
- 6 Welty FK, Lewis SM, Kowalker W, Shubrooks SJ Jr: Reasons for higher in-hospital mortality >24 hours after percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty in women compared with men. Am J Cardiol 2001; 88:473-477.

- 7 Vaccarino V, Krumholz HM, Berkman LF, Horwitz RI: Sex differences in mortality after myocardial infarction. Is there evidence for an increased risk for women? Circulation 1995;91:1861–1871.
- 8 Halvorsen S, Eritsland J, Abdelnoor M, Holst Hansen C, Risoe C, Midtbo K, Bjornerheim R, Mangschau A: Gender differences in management and outcome in acute myocardial infarctions treated in 2006–2007. Cardiology 2009;114:83–88.
- 9 Cannon CP, Weintraub WS, Demopoulos LA, Vicari R, Frey MJ, Lakkis N, et al: Comparison of early invasive and conservative strategies in patients with unstable coronary syndromes treated with the glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitor tirofiban. N Engl J Med 2001;344:1879–1887.
- 10 Clayton TC, Pocock SJ, Henderson RA, Poole-Wilson PA, Shaw TR, Knight R, et al: Do men benefit more than women from an interventional strategy in patients with unstable angina or non-ST-elevation myocardial infarction? The impact of gender in the RITA 3 trial. Eur Heart J 2004;25:1641– 1650.
- 11 Lagerqvist B, Säfström K, Ståhle E, Wallentin L, Swahn E: Is early invasive treatment of unstable coronary artery disease equally effective for both women and men? FRISC II Study Group Investigators. J Am Coll Cardiol 2001;38:41–48.
- 12 Holmvang L, Mickley H: Gender differences following percutaneous coronary intervention. Ther Adv Cardiovasc Dis 2008;2:109– 113.

- 13 Swahn E, Alfredsson J, Afzal R, Budaj A, Chrolavicius S, Fox K, et al: Early invasive compared with a selective invasive strategy in women with non-ST-elevation acute coronary syndromes: a substudy of the OASIS 5 trial and a meta-analysis of previous randomized trials. Eur Heart J 2009, Epub ahead of print.
- 14 O'Donoghue M, Boden WE, Braunwald E, Cannon CP, Clayton TC, de Winter RJ, et al: Early invasive vs conservative treatment strategies in women and men with unstable angina and non-ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction: a meta-analysis. JAMA 2008;300:71–80.
- 15 Hemingway H, Langenberg C, Damant J, Frost C, Pyorala K, Barrett-Connor E: Prevalence of angina in women versus men: a systematic review and meta-analysis of international variations across 31 countries. Circulation 2008;117:1526–1536.
- 16 Bairey Merz CN, Shaw LJ, Reis SE, Bittner V, Kelsey SF, Olson M, et al: Insights from the NHLBI-Sponsored Women's Ischemia Syndrome Evaluation (WISE) Study. Part 2. Gender differences in presentation, diagnosis, and outcome with regard to gender-based pathophysiology of atherosclerosis and macrovascular and microvascular coronary disease. J Am Coll Cardiol 2006;47(suppl 3): S21–S29.
- 17 Shaw LJ, Shaw RE, Merz CN, Brindis RG, Klein LW, Nallamothu B, et al: Impact of ethnicity and gender differences on angiographic coronary artery disease prevalence and in-hospital mortality in the American College of Cardiology-National Cardiovascular Data Registry. Circulation 2008;117: 1787–1801.
- 18 Setoguchi S, Glynn RJ, Avorn J, Mittleman MA, Levin R, Winkelmayer WC: Improvements in long-term mortality after myocardial infarction and increased use of cardiovascular drugs after discharge: a 10-year trend analysis. J Am Coll Cardiol 2008;51: 1247–1254.

- 19 Singh M, Rihal CS, Gersh BJ, Roger VL, Bell MR, Lennon RJ, et al: Mortality differences between men and women after percutaneous coronary interventions. A 25-year, singlecenter experience. J Am Coll Cardiol 2008; 51:2313–2320.
- 20 Champney KP, Frederick PD, Bueno H, Parashar S, Foody J, Bairey Merz CN, et al: The joint contribution of sex, age and type of myocardial infarction on hospital mortality following acute myocardial infarction. Heart 2009;95:895–899.
- 21 Hannan EL, Racz MJ, Arani DT, Ryan TJ, Walford G, McCallister BD: Short- and longterm mortality for patients undergoing primary angioplasty for acute myocardial infarction. J Am Coll Cardiol 2000;36: 1194–1201.
- 22 Vakili BA, Kaplan RC, Brown DL: Sex-based differences in early mortality of patients undergoing primary angioplasty for first acute myocardial infarction. Circulation 2001; 104:3034–3038.
- 23 Alter DA, Naylor CD, Austin PC, Tu JV: Biology or bias: practice patterns and long-term outcomes for men and women with acute myocardial infarction. J Am Coll Cardiol 2002;39:1909–1916.
- 24 Rosengren A, Wallentin L, Simoons M, Gitt AK, Behar S, Battler A, et al: Age, clinical presentation, and outcome of acute coronary syndromes in the Euroheart acute coronary syndrome survey. Eur Heart J 2006;27:789– 795.